

To: Fritz, Matthew[Fritz.Matthew@epa.gov]
Cc: Blumenfeld, Jared[BLUMENFELD.JARED@EPA.GOV]; Curry, Ron[Curry.Ron@epa.gov]; Gray, David[gray.david@epa.gov]; McGrath, Shaun[McGrath.Shaun@epa.gov]; Coleman, Sam[Coleman.Sam@epa.gov]; Strauss, Alexis[Strauss.Alexis@epa.gov]; Kao, Jessica[Kao.Jessica@epa.gov]; Smith, Paula[Smith.Paula@epa.gov]; Russo, Rebecca[Russo.Rebecca@epa.gov]; Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]; Distefano, Nichole[DiStefano.Nichole@epa.gov]; Cristiano, Gina[Cristiano.Gina@epa.gov]
From: Card, Joan
Sent: Fri 8/7/2015 11:53:35 PM
Subject: RE: Update

Matt, it means the wells are pumping orange water. Users stopped using them for drinking water and we are providing an alternate. Sampling and analysis will tell us the levels of metals, etc. over the coming days.

Also, the experts are still working on the metals information, which is going to be more comprehensive and detailed than the pH information. Their ETA is now 6:30.

Joan

-----Original Message-----

From: Fritz, Matthew
Sent: Friday, August 07, 2015 5:37 PM
To: Card, Joan
Cc: Blumenfeld, Jared; Curry, Ron; Gray, David; McGrath, Shaun; Coleman, Sam; Strauss, Alexis; Kao, Jessica; Smith, Paula; Russo, Rebecca; Vaught, Laura; Distefano, Nichole; Rupp, Mark; Cristiano, Gina
Subject: Re: Update

Joan,

Thank you for the update.

Since I require more education than most can you help me understand the term "impacted" in this situation?

Is it that we already have results that show certain constituents above a drinking water standard? Or, there are wells that in the vicinity of the river that pull water from it and could potentially have elevated levels of metals, etc.?

> On Aug 7, 2015, at 7:29 PM, Card, Joan <Card.Joan@epa.gov> wrote:

>

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5